

Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics 67 (2005) 71-82



www.elsevier.com/locate/jastp

The solar cycle and stratosphere–troposphere dynamical coupling

Mark P. Baldwin*, Timothy J. Dunkerton

Northwest Research Associates, 14508 NE 20th Street, Bellevue, WA 98007, USA

Available online 26 August 2004

Abstract

Observations and modeling studies support the hypothesis that solar cycle/ozone interactions create temperature and wind anomalies in the tropical upper stratosphere near 1 hPa. During extended winter (October-April), interactions with planetary-scale Rossby waves draw low-latitude stratospheric wind anomalies poleward and downward through the stratosphere. Solar influence on surface climate would likely involve interactions with stratospheric Rossby waves and the coupling of the lower stratospheric circulation to the circulation near Earth's surface. Here we provide an overview of stratosphere-troposphere dynamical coupling. We discuss dynamical mechanisms that might communicate stratospheric circulation anomalies downward to the troposphere and surface. © 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Stratosphere; Troposphere; Dynamical coupling; Solar cycle

1. Introduction

Nearly all of the energy that drives the climate system comes from the sun, and variations in solar radiation on several timescales are linked with substantial variations of Earth's climate. Records of surface, upper ocean, and lower tropospheric temperatures, together with the sun's irradiance, suggest that climate changes are associated with relatively small changes in energy that Earth receives from the sun. These fluctuations occur on timescales from centuries (e.g., the little ice age: Eddy, 1976) to thousands of years (e.g., Milankovitch (1941) orbital cycles).

Solar irradiance also varies slightly over an 11-year cycle as the sun's magnetic activity alters its energy output. Although the total energy output of the sun varies by only $\sim 0.1\%$ over the solar cycle (Fröhlich and

Lean, 1998), radiation at longer UV wavelengths increases by several percent (e.g., Lean et al., 1997). Still larger changes-a factor of two or more-are found in extremely short UV and X-ray wavelengths. For the past 200 years this fairly regular cycle has inspired researchers to link solar-cycle variations to variations in weather and climate. Ultimately, most of the proposed links came to naught because the relationships were specious. Some lacked field significance (solar-cycle correlations were at isolated locations. Barnston and Livezey, 1989), some were non-stationary (correlations that decrease or disappear as newer data are obtained) and others suffered large gaps in temporal coverage.

Early attempts to associate the solar cycle with weather and climate variations involved surface or tropospheric observations (Pittock, 1978). Labitzke (1987) examined stratospheric data and found a relationship involving the solar cycle, North Pole temperatures at 30 hPa, and the phase of the quasibiennial oscillation (QBO), as defined by the direction of

^{*}Corresponding author. Fax: +1-425-644-8422.

E-mail address: mark@nwra.com (M.P. Baldwin).

^{1364-6826/\$ -} see front matter (C) 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2004.07.018

the 50-hPa equatorial stratospheric winds. These results were met with some skepticism for two reasons: (1) the short data record meant that the results were marginally significant, and (2) there was no mechanism to explain the relationship.

Since 1987 there have been four developments which provide evidence in favor of a solar influence on the atmosphere:

Strong statistical relationship in the data record: Labitzke's (1987) discovery was followed by several papers which expanded on the original result (e.g., van Loon and Labitzke, 2000; Labitzke, 2004, this issue). Strong correlations are seen year-round between the solar cycle and stratospheric geopotential heights and temperatures in both hemispheres, irrespective of the phase of the OBO. Primarily during northern late winter the QBO appears to modulate the direct correlation with the solar cycle (Dunkerton and Baldwin, 1992). Although the relationships are not as strong as in the stratosphere, variations approximately in phase with the solar cycle are also seen in satellite records of global temperature in the lower troposphere (e.g., Douglass and Clader, 2002), the North Atlantic Oscillation (Kodera, 2003), surface temperature (North and Wu, 2001), and upper ocean temperature (White et al., 1997).

Solar-ozone mechanism: The UV spectrum varies by several percent over a solar cycle. Since UV radiation is absorbed by ozone in the stratosphere, the concentration of ozone varies with the intensity of UV radiation (Haigh, 1994). This radiative-photochemical mechanism effectively amplifies the solar cycle through a positive feedback with the ozone concentration, apart from dynamical feedbacks. Ozone variations have a direct radiative impact on the stratosphere and troposphere, and observations of temperatures are broadly consistent with the expected radiative forcing.

Model simulations: General circulation model (GCM) simulations of the solar effect (Matthes et al., 2003) reproduced an upper stratosphere global annual mean solar signal in good agreement with observations. However, the models failed to simulate seasonal patterns and the observed response in the lower stratosphere. One study (Matthes et al., 2004), with improved tropical dynamics but without interactive ozone, shows an improved signal in northern winter and the lower stratosphere. An important aspect of solar and QBO effects is the cumulative influence of these forcings over the course of a winter season, as seen in the stratosphere's response to the QBO (O'Sullivan and Dunkerton, 1994; Gray et al., 2003).

Dynamical mechanism to amplify solar effects: Observations (e.g., Kodera et al., 1990; Dunkerton, 2000; Kodera and Kuroda, 2002) and models (e.g., Gray et al., 2003) show that circulation anomalies in the upper and middle stratosphere move poleward and downward during the winter season and are linked to anomalies

in wave-induced momentum transport. The anomalous wave forcing (interacting with the mean flow) can be regarded as a dynamical mechanism to maintain or even to enhance the amplitude of anomalies as they migrate downward into regions of higher atmospheric density. Perturbations originating in the tropical upper stratosphere (e.g., due to the solar cycle) therefore may be transmitted to higher latitudes and to lower altitudes by this dynamical mechanism. Within the stratosphere proper, the relevant waves are planetary-scale Rossby waves propagating on the potential vorticity gradient at the periphery of the polar vortex (Perlwitz and Harnik, 2003; Ortland and Dunkerton, 2004).¹ In the troposphere and lowermost stratosphere, synoptic-scale baroclinic waves, owing to the instability of the tropospheric flow, may also contribute to the apparent downward propagation of anomalies (Baldwin et al., 2003a, b). Induced mean meridional circulations are important in both regions, and may be particularly important in communicating the annular-mode signal all the way to the surface (Ortland and Dunkerton, 2004).

These four developments provide evidence that the 11-year solar cycle could have an effect on the lower atmosphere, and merit further study in this area. Indeed, our current understanding of the processes involved is qualitative at best, and there remain many quantitative, as well as qualitative, issues to address. The Third IPCC Assessment (Houghton et al., 2001), for example, states that the level of scientific understanding of solar radiative forcing is "very low". We echo that sentiment and add that the level of understanding of dynamical feedbacks is also low. A conceptual framework for understanding of downward influence would be helpful, and may be developed along the following lines. There are two mechanisms, or pathways by which solarinduced ozone heating anomalies in the tropical upper stratosphere can be communicated to the tropical and subtropical lower stratosphere and troposphere. One pathway is direct, the other is indirect.

The *direct* mechanism, effective year-round, works by modulating the tropospheric Hadley circulation. Ozone changes have a direct radiative impact on the stratosphere and troposphere (Shindell et al., 1999a; Larkin et al., 2000; Lean and Rind, 2001). While the tropospheric Hadley cell is governed primarily by processes internal to the troposphere (moist deep convection and fluxes of momentum and heat due to synoptic-scale baroclinic waves) other factors (such as tropical ozone) contribute to the definition of radiative-convective equilibrium and can play a role in modulating the Hadley circulation. As remarkable as it seems (owing to

¹These authors describe a dynamical mechanism for downward influence, not a solar influence per se; inferences regarding the solar cycle transmitted by the dynamical mechanism are our own.

the size of the ozone heating anomaly) it is plausible that changes in tropical ozone, though small, have a subtle but statistically significant effect on the tropospheric jet streams, and that this direct influence is transmitted to midlatitudes by anomalous fluxes associated with synoptic-scale waves (Hou and Molod, 1995; Haigh, 2001). A much larger direct solar influence is possible, of course, on astronomical timescales, whereby changes in solar irradiance alter tropospheric climate and the biosphere. Changes of this magnitude involve moist thermodynamics directly by altering the latitudinal distribution of sea surface temperature and its effect on the Hadley circulation.

The *indirect* mechanism involves a more circuitous path, through the extratropical atmosphere, and requires a modulation of planetary-scale Rossby waves propagating upward and equatorward from the polar upper troposphere into the extratropical stratosphere. The mechanism is effective only in the extended winter season (October-April) when stratospheric winds are westerly in high latitudes, and quasi-stationary Rossby waves can propagate vertically within the stratosphere (Charney and Drazin, 1961). The stratospheric zonal flow is changed where the Rossby waves break, and the altered winds affect subsequent planetary wave propagation from the troposphere. Observations show that changes in the strength of the polar vortex move downward through the stratosphere, and the surface pattern looks like the leading mode of variability, called the Arctic Oscillation (Thompson and Wallace, 1998). Ruzmaiken et al. (2004) found that long-term variations in solar output affect climate predominantly through the NAM that extends through the stratosphere and troposphere. The total effect on the atmosphere may be a superposition of direct and indirect effects (Lean and Rind, 2001).

In this overview paper, we are not concerned with the details of solar influence on the upper stratosphere nor if the solar cycle and QBO interact. Rather, we take the view that any such solar effect that alters stratospheric winds could be communicated to the troposphere by the direct and indirect pathways described above. In this paper we focus on the indirect pathway via the extratropical stratosphere. This includes mechanisms by which stratospheric circulation anomalies are communicated poleward and downward to the lower stratosphere, and how the tropospheric circulation is subsequently affected. It is the *communication* and possible *amplification* of stratospheric signals downward to Earth's surface that is crucial to any solar-climate mechanism.

2. Stratospheric response to solar forcing

Observations support the hypothesis that the 11-year solar cycle modulates ozone concentrations and ozone heating in the tropical upper stratosphere and lower mesosphere (Hood, 1997; Hood and Soukharev, 2000), with approximately a 1 K temperature change with the solar cycle. Further support comes from GCMs (e.g., Matthes et al., 2003). Some effect on subtropical winds is also expected, although the observed strengthening of subtropical mesospheric jet at solar maximum (Kodera and Yamazaki, 1994) is larger than what would be expected from radiative forcing alone.

Changes of mean zonal wind in the middle atmosphere are usually associated with changes in wave forcing, and feedbacks between the two provide a mechanism for coupling between widely separated atmospheric regions. Gray et al. (2001a, b) and Gray (2003) found that zonal wind anomalies during early winter in the tropical stratopause region are important in modulating the strength of the northern polar vortex through the rest of the winter. The mechanism, though imperfectly understood, may be similar to that associated with the extratropical QBO (Holton and Tan, 1980), in which the QBO modulates the waveguide for planetary waves. The waves are confined to higher latitudes when the QBO is easterly and are thereby amplified and able to enlarge the Aleutian anticyclone, which tends to erode, weaken and possibly disrupt the main vortex. The key QBO level for maximum extratropical correlation in the Holton-Tan oscillation is near 40-50 hPa, whereas Gray's key level is in the upper stratosphere, near 1 hPa. Perhaps wave reflection and breaking in the subtropical upper stratosphere and lower mesosphere are important to the coupling mechanism (Dunkerton, 1987; Perlwitz and Harnik, 2003).

Observations provide evidence that the strength of the northern polar vortex is affected by solar-induced circulation changes near the tropical stratopause. Labitzke (2001) found that the strength of the polar vortex at 30 hPa during November-December differs significantly between high solar flux and low solar flux years. Kodera et al. (1990) found that the stratospheric response originates in the tropical stratopause region and propagates poleward and downward during the winter season. In the stratosphere, the apparent propagation actually involves the interaction of equatorward- and upward-propagating planetary waves with the mean zonal flow, so that the net effect is to draw wind anomalies poleward and downward (Dunkerton, 2000). Anomalies of either sign (westerly or easterly) "propagate" in this manner, but as noted by Dunkerton (2000) the propagation is asymmetric depending on the sign of the anomaly. Westerly anomalies (corresponding to relatively cold, undisturbed winters) show a regular poleward and downward propagation, while easterly anomalies (corresponding to relatively warm, disturbed winters) display a more rapid, even catastrophic, evolution culminating in a polar stratospheric warming.

This asymmetry was also noted by Gillett et al. (2001) as a skewness in the probability density function of stratospheric annular-mode index. Kodera and Kuroda (2002) suggested that the solar effects are first seen in the upper stratosphere and stratopause region and are subsequently transmitted to the lower stratosphere via modulation of the polar vortex strength and changes in the Brewer-Dobson circulation. The polar vortex modulation is important in middle and high latitudes, while changes in the Brewer-Dobson circulation (also important within the vortex) provide a non-local response outside the region of wave forcing, extending the solar influence back to the tropics and perhaps into the opposite hemisphere. We have referred to this chain of events as the *indirect* pathway for solar influence, noting the poleward and downward propagation, followed by an equatorward extension of the influence. There is a tropospheric component to the indirect pathway, as discussed in Section 5.

An analogy is sometimes made with the QBO itself, in which equatorial waves systematically create and draw mean-flow anomalies downward (Lindzen and Holton, 1968; Holton and Lindzen, 1972; Plumb, 1977; Dunkerton, 1997; Baldwin et al., 2001). Notwithstanding the differences between the tropical QBO and extratropical case described above—such as the role of planetary Rossby waves, and back reflection of these waves to the troposphere—both phenomena share a key ingredient in that wave, mean-flow interaction acts to maintain the anomaly during the course of its downward propagation over several density scale heights.

Our understanding of the interaction between tropical wind anomalies and the circulation at higher latitudes is incomplete. A major impediment is the lack of observational data for the tropical and subtropical upper stratosphere. Operational rawinsonde observations typically extend only to near 10 hPa. Some idea of their latitudinal coverage can be obtained from Dunkerton and Delisi (1985). Rocketsonde observations extend into the mesosphere, but ended more than 10 years ago, and were acquired at stations $\sim 8^{\circ}$ off the equator. Balanced winds derived from satellite temperatures, beginning in the late 1970s, are problematic but reasonable results can be obtained for the zonal mean flow (Delisi and Dunkerton, 1988). Today, data assimilation is widely used for creation of global gridded datasets, but the coverage and quality of input data remain critical issues. The most comprehensive of its kind, the ECMWF ERA-40 reanalysis covers the time period 1958-2001 up to 1 hPa, but it is difficult to verify the accuracy of the analysis owing to the general lack of ground-based data, particularly in the tropics and southern hemisphere. Preliminary validation against rocketsondes (which were not assimilated in the reanalysis) indicates that the ERA-40 data provide a good representation of tropical stratospheric winds after 1978 (A. Untch, pers. comm., 2003).

If the solar cycle modulates winds in the subtropical stratopause region, then these anomalies could, in principle, be drawn poleward and downward (via the interaction of the waves with the mean flow) to the lowermost stratosphere. From the discussion thus far, it is not clear that the troposphere should be affected. The circulation of the troposphere is more complicated, with many other external forcings, and internal feedbacks with synoptic-scale baroclinic waves. It seems at first unlikely that a purely stratospheric forcing should have much effect on the denser troposphere. In the next section, we review observational evidence that a signal associated with poleward and downward propagation of stratospheric anomalies does indeed reach the surface. The apparent coupling between stratosphere and troposphere has been quantified in terms of annular-mode signals in the two regions. Included in our discussion is a description of how the surface response is created.

3. Stratosphere-troposphere dynamical coupling

The troposphere influences the stratosphere mainly through a variety of atmospheric waves that propagate upward and interact with the stratospheric flow. In the tropics, the QBO is driven by a combination of gravity, Kelvin, and mixed Rossby-gravity waves (Dunkerton, 1997). In the extratropics, the Charney and Drazin (1961) criterion guarantees trapping of all large-scale waves when the stratospheric flow is easterly, and all but the longest waves during winter when the flow is westerly. During northern winter air flowing over mountain ranges and continental landmasses creates planetary-scale Rossby waves that propagate upward, refract, and reflect in the stratosphere. It is the circulation of the lowermost stratosphere that determines where wave activity propagates, and the degree to which large-scale waves affect the troposphere.

Rossby waves that enter the stratosphere break within the stratosphere or mesosphere, creating long-lived fluctuations in the strength of the winds that form the stratospheric polar vortex. The stratosphere organizes chaotic wave forcing from below to create variations in the strength of the polar vortex, which can last a month or two. Changes in the strength of the polar vortex then feed back to affect weather and climate in the troposphere. The processes by which circulation anomalies in the lower stratosphere affect the troposphere are not well understood, but likely involve synoptic-scale baroclinic waves (Baldwin et al., 2003b; Wittman et al., 2004).

The Southern Hemisphere has fewer mountain ranges and less land surface. Hence, the planetary-scale waves there are smaller and influence the stratosphere less than in the Northern Hemisphere. Consequently, the south polar vortex is relatively quiescent, until late spring, when the vortex breaks down (Thompson et al., 2004).

Fluctuations in the strength of the stratospheric polar vortices in both hemispheres are observed to couple downward to surface climate (Baldwin and Dunkerton, 1999, 2001; Thompson et al., 2004). This relationship can be described in terms of annular modes, the leading patterns of geopotential variability at levels through the troposphere and stratosphere.² Fig. 1 illustrates that the Northern Annular Mode (NAM) patterns at 10 and at 1000 hPa are similar, and to first order, zonally symmetric. In the stratosphere the NAM is a measure of the strength of the polar vortex. At the surface the NAM is also known as the Arctic Oscillation, which is very similar to the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) (Wallace, 2000). Variations in the surface NAM index correspond to substantial large-scale changes in weather and climate. When the surface NAM is positive, pressures are lower than normal over the polar cap but higher at low latitudes, with stronger westerlies at midlatitudes, especially across the Atlantic. Northern Europe and much of the United States are warmer and wetter than average, and Southern Europe is drier than average. Although the coupling between the stratospheric and tropospheric NAM is robust in both observations and models, the reasons for this coupling are not well understood.

The relationship between NAM anomalies in the stratosphere and troposphere is made clear if averages are taken of the weakest and strongest observed stratospheric anomalies. The NAM index at 10 hPa can be used to define events during which the stratospheric polar vortex was either extremely weak or strong. Fig. 2A is a composite of 18 weak vortex events (which correspond to stratospheric warmings), with blue indicating a positive NAM index and red indicating a negative NAM index. On average, weak vortex conditions (red) tend to descend through the lower stratosphere and are followed by negative NAM anomalies at the surface for ~ 2 months. The opposite is true for anomalously strong vortex conditions (blue in Fig. 2B). The long tropospheric anomalies suggest that coupling to the stratosphere might tend to increase the timescale of the NAM during winter.

Fig. 3 illustrates the *e*-folding timescale of the NAM index as a function of height and month. During winter, the surface NAM timescale is considerably longer than

Fig. 1. Northern Annular Mode (NAM) patterns at 10 and 1000 hPa. The patterns are calculated as the leading empirical orthogonal function of November–April (90-day lowpass filtered) geopotential for 1958–2000.

during the rest of the year, and the NAM timescale in the lower stratosphere is also longest during the winter season (the long timescale in the summer middle stratosphere appear to result from persistent, small interannual variations). The coincidence of the long NAM timescale in the wintertime troposphere and lower stratosphere is suggestive, but is not sufficient to conclude that the stratosphere induces these changes;



1000 hPa

10 hPa

0

0

(a)

s

²The NAM is defined as the leading empirical orthogonal function (EOF) of slowly varying (e.g., month-to-month) wintertime hemispheric geopotential at each isobaric level, and is the spatial pattern that accounts for the greatest fraction of geopotential variance. Daily indices of the annular modes are calculated for each level by projecting daily geopotential anomalies onto the leading EOF patterns. For details of the calculation see Baldwin and Dunkerton (2001).



Fig. 2. Composites of time-height development of the NAM for (A) 18 weak vortex events and (B) 30 strong vortex events. The events are determined by the dates on which the 10-hPa NAM values cross -3.0 and +1.5, respectively. The indices are nondimensional; the contour interval for the color shading is 0.25, and 0.5 for the white contours. Values between -0.25 and 0.25 are unshaded. The thin horizontal lines indicates the approximate tropopause. From Baldwin and Dunkerton (2001).

the long winter NAM timescale could also be caused by the annual cycle. Further evidence that the timescale of the surface NAM is affected by stratospheric conditions comes from the Southern Hemisphere. If the long winter timescale of the NAM is a reflection of the annual cycle, then one would expect that the timescale of the Southern Annular Mode (SAM) would be longest in southern winter. Fig. 3B shows that this is not the case. The longest surface SAM timescale is found during November–December, at the time of the maximum SAM variance in the lowermost stratosphere (Fig. 3C). Taken together, these observations are evidence that large, long-lived circulation anomalies in the lower stratosphere can lengthen the timescale of the annular modes in the troposphere.

The long timescale of stratospheric effects has practical implications for extended-range weather forecasts (Baldwin et al., 2003a, b). The stratosphere can be used as a statistical predictor of the surface NAM on timescales of up to two months. Fig. 4 illustrates the predictability of the monthly mean 1000-hPa NAM, as a function of season and NAM pressure level used to predict the 1000-hPa NAM. Daily values of the 150-hPa NAM during December–February are correlated with the monthly mean 1000-hPa NAM beginning 10 days later (the monthly mean is offset 25 days from the 150hPa NAM time series. The contours are the square of the correlation coefficients, expressed as the percent variance of the surface NAM, with darker shading indicating better predictability of the surface NAM. The key result illustrated is that the monthly mean surface NAM is better predicted by the lower stratospheric NAM than any tropospheric level. The result is statistically robust since the correlations are based on 44 years (2160 days) of observations. The stratosphere provides predictability earlier in the winter, more predictability during midwinter, and extends predictability into the spring. The practical application of this relationship may be through numerical extended-range forecast models that include a realistic stratosphere, or through a combined statistical-dynamical technique (W. Norton, pers. comm., 2003).

There is now strong observational and modeling evidence that human-induced changes to the stratospheric ozone layer in the Southern Hemisphere have affected not just the stratosphere (Langematz, 2000; Langematz et al., 2003; Rosier and Shine, 2000), but surface climate. Thompson and Solomon (2002) provided the observational evidence, while Gillett and Thompson (2003) used a GCM forced only by the observed ozone anomalies since 1979 (Fig. 5). Both the observations and model results show that surface temperatures, pressures, and winds are affected for up to 3 months following the stratospheric ozone anomalies. These results are supported by 25 years of Southern Hemisphere data (Thompson et al., 2004) and also bear



Fig. 3. (A) Timescale of the NAM as measured by the time (days) for the NAM autocorrelation function to drop to 1/e (~0.378). The horizontal line in each panel represents the approximate tropopause. The timescale is estimated with a least-squares fit of an exponential curve to the autocorrelation function. The contour interval is three days up to 30 days, and 10 days at higher values. (B) As in (A), except SAM. (C) Variance of the SAM. SAM time series at each level are normalized to unit standard deviation. From Baldwin et al. (2003b).

a similarity to the Northern Hemisphere results shown in Fig. 2, suggesting that the same mechanism is at work.

Research on stratosphere-troposphere coupling has the potential to benefit society in two major ways. First, extended-range weather forecasts and seasonal forecasts may be improved by including stratospheric information either statistically or dynamically. The stratospheric information could include not only changes to the strength of the polar vortex, but also the phase of the QBO. As first noted by Holton and Tan (1980), the polar vortex is, on average, weaker when the QBO is easterly. Changes in the strength of the polar vortex couple downward, so that modest QBO effects are seen at the surface during northern winter (Thompson et al., 2002). Knowledge of the state of the QBO, solar cycle and stratospheric NAM is potentially valuable for



Fig. 4. (A) Predictability of the monthly-mean Arctic Oscillation (1000-hPA NAM) after a 10-day lead. Values are obtained by linear regression between the daily NAM time series and the monthly-mean Arctic Oscillation beginning after 10 days, and are displayed as percent variance of the monthly-mean Arctic Oscillation. (B) Cross sections through (A) at 1000 and 150 hPa. Blue curve: 150-hPa NAM predicts the monthly-mean AO; black curve: AO predicts the monthly-mean AO. From Baldwin et al. (2003b).

extended-range and seasonal prediction. Although the external forcings themselves vary on a wide range of timescales, from days to ~ 10 years, their instantaneous values (as measured by an appropriate index) can be incorporated as statistical predictors or as initial perturbations in a dynamical model.

The second benefit to society is on decadal and longer timescales, and again involves the connection between the stratospheric polar vortex and the surface annular modes. If the stratospheric circulation changes with the solar cycle, ozone depletion, or increasing greenhouse gases, those changes will likely be reflected in changes to surface climate. In climate prediction, unlike extendedrange forecasting, we are not concerned with the instantaneous state of a particular forcing, but with the probability distribution function of forcings and atmospheric response: subtle but statistically significant changes in the centroid, shape and extreme values that portend climate change.

Modeling studies of solar effects on climate are not in close agreement (e.g., Rind and Balachandran, 1995; Haigh, 1996; Matthes et al., 2003). The disagreement is not surprising because model predictions of future climate (with increasing greenhouse gases) do not agree as to whether the stratospheric polar vortex will strengthen or weaken. The timing of such external influences within the seasonal cycle is also important (e.g., whether in early or late winter) and may eventually help to explain some of the disagreement between models (O'Sullivan and Dunkerton, 1994). Even for models with a "proper" representation of stratosphere, results can be opposite. Shindell et al. (1999b), for example, predicted a stronger, colder stratospheric polar vortex and increasing surface NAM index. In contrast, J. Kettleborough (pers. comm., 2003) found that the stratospheric polar vortex would become warmer and weaker (with 4X CO₂), with a more negative stratospheric NAM index and a region of surface cooling at high latitudes. It is not yet possible to determine which scenario is more correct, but the answer has critical implications for climate and how society anticipates, and acts pro-actively towards, future climate change.

A fundamental scientific problem is that we do not have a quantitative understanding of stratosphere–troposphere coupling. In particular, there is no comprehensive theory for how stratospheric circulation anomalies affect tropospheric climate. Without that understanding, we do not know what to expect as climate changes or how solar-induced anomalies should affect climate. Predictive capability is derived largely



Fig. 5. Simulated (left column) and observed (right column) changes in (upper row) geopotential height (m) and (lower row) temperature (K) poleward of $65 \,^{\circ}$ S. Observed changes are 30-year linear trends (1969–1998) averaged over seven radiosonde stations, and shading indicates changes which exceed one standard deviation of the monthly time series. Simulated changes are differences between the model integration with depleted stratospheric ozone and the control, sampled at the locations of the radiosonde stations used in Thompson and Solomon (2002), and shading indicates regions of significant change at the 95% level. From Gillett and Thompson (2003).

from comprehensive GCMs, but as noted above, the models' ability to simulate accurately the magnitude and timing of circulation anomalies embedded in the seasonal cycle is critical when assessing the climate impact of external forcings.

What are the mechanisms by which wind anomalies in the lowermost stratosphere induce changes to surface weather patterns? Perhaps the simplest explanation is that surface pressure anomalies (at a fixed height in the lower stratosphere) should be seen throughout the tropospheric column. This appears to be at least a partial explanation—surface pressure anomalies are similar to those in the lowermost stratosphere (D. Thompson, pers. commun., 2003). This result is consistent with results of a mechanistic model of stratospheric vacillation (Ortland and Dunkerton, 2004) indicating that wave forcing and induced mean meridional circulation in the lower stratosphere are responsible for perhaps half of the surface pressure signal. Another mechanism that could contribute to surface effects is wave reflection in the upper stratosphere (Perlwitz and Harnik, 2003; Ortland and Dunkerton, 2004).

Based on current understanding and the rather limited number of studies performed, we are inclined to speculate that in situ stratospheric forcings alone cannot account for all of the observed signal in the troposphere. Whatever the dominant mechanism, it is likely that an amplifier is needed. Near the tropopause there is a region of overlap between stratospheric zonal wind anomalies and both planetary and synoptic-scale waves. Weak changes to the winds could be amplified by interactions with waves that extend several kilometers into the stratosphere (Baldwin et al., 2003a). The altered waves would likely affect tropospheric circulation and induce surface pressure changes corresponding to the NAM. If the stratospheric anomalies can affect momentum fluxes in the upper troposphere, then one would expect an immediate effect on surface pressure (Haynes and Shepherd, 1989). Wittman et al. (2004) found that baroclinic life cycles (Thorncroft et al., 1993) are affected by zonal wind anomalies that are confined to the stratosphere.

4. Conclusions

One way that the 11-year solar cycle could influence tropospheric climate is through an indirect pathway: tropical stratospheric ozone heating creates off-equatorial circulation anomalies, and subsequent interactions with planetary-scale Rossby waves bring the anomalies poleward and downward in the winter hemisphere. Such a mechanism is understood to communicate the QBO to the high-latitude stratosphere, and there is evidence that high-latitude stratospheric winds are sensitive to wind anomalies in the equatorial upper stratosphere-precisely where ozone heating anomalies are observed. If solar-induced tropical circulation anomalies are communicated to high latitudes, they would likely be seen as changes to the strength of the stratospheric polar vortex during extended winter, which are observed to affect the tropospheric circulation. On average, when the polar vortex is stronger than average in the lower stratosphere, the tropospheric NAM index is positive. This pathway for solar influence appears to involve interactions with the QBO, but the details are not yet understood.

An understanding of the dynamics of solar effects in the tropical stratosphere is made difficult by the paucity of wind observations in the equatorial upper stratosphere. Without knowing the solar signal in wind, and the latitudinal profiles of wind anomalies in the upper stratosphere, it is difficult to develop a quantitative understanding of the processes involved. Modeling studies also point toward sensitivity to tropical wind anomalies in the upper stratosphere. Once wind anomalies are created (whether by solar variations, the QBO, or other causes), they alter the propagation of planetary-scale Rossby waves and their forcing of the mean flow. It is the interaction with Rossby waves that draws the wind anomalies poleward and downward, effectively amplifying the (density weighted) energy of anomalies as they descend. This interaction allows relatively small perturbations in the upper stratosphere to affect the circulation of the lower stratosphere.

Although we do not have a detailed understanding of the dynamics of stratosphere/troposphere coupling, downward communication of stratospheric anomalies to the troposphere is robust in observations and models. If solar effects change the strength of the stratospheric polar vortex, then (as with the QBO) there should be an effect on the tropospheric NAM (e.g., Kodera, 2002; 2003; Ruzmaiken et al., 2004). Such effects could be seasonal, or cumulative over a season. Solar effects may be more likely to occur, for example, in the late winter when solar modulation of the polar vortex appears to be largest. Because the signal seems to be small, and may be confined to a brief season, verification of solar effects in the troposphere may be difficult. Further progress in our understanding will likely require carefully designed numerical experiments to test hypotheses.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NSF's Climate Dynamics Program, NOAA's Office of Global Programs, NASA's SR&T Program for Geospace Sciences, NA-SA's Living With a Star Program, and NASA's Oceans, Ice, & Climate Program. We thank L.J. Gray and an anonymous reviewer for comments and suggestions.

References

- Baldwin, M.P., Dunkerton, T.J., 1999. Downward propagation of the Arctic Oscillation from the stratosphere to the troposphere. Journal of Geophysical Research 104, 30937–30946.
- Baldwin, M.P., Dunkerton, T.J., 2001. Stratospheric harbingers of anomalous weather regimes. Science 244, 581–584.
- Baldwin, M.P., Gray, L.J., Dunkerton, T.J., Hamilton, K., Haynes, P.H., Randel, W.J., Holton, J.R., Alexander, M.J., Hirota, I., Horinouchi, T., Jones, D.B.A., Kinnersley, J.S., Marquardt, C., Sato, K., Takahashi, M., 2001. The quasibiennial oscillation. Reviews of Geophysics 39, 179–229.
- Baldwin, M.P., Thompson, D.W.J., Shuckburgh, E.F., Norton, W.A., Gillett, N.P., 2003a. Weather from the Stratosphere? Science 301, 317–318.
- Baldwin, M.P., Stephenson, D.B., Thompson, D.W.J., Dunkerton, T.J., Charlton, A.J., O'Neill, A., 2003b. Stratospheric memory and extended-range weather forecasts. Science 301, 636–640.
- Barnston, A.G., Livezey, R.E., 1989. A closer look at the effect of the 11-year solar cycle and the quasi-biennial oscillation on Northern Hemisphere 700 mb height and extratropical North American surface temperature. Journal of Climate 2, 1295–1313.
- Charney, J.G., Drazin, P.G., 1961. Propagation of planetaryscale disturbances from the lower into the upper atmosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research 66, 83–109.
- Delisi, D.P., Dunkerton, T.J., 1988. Seasonal variation of the semiannual oscillation. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences 45, 2772–2787.
- Douglass, D.H., Clader, B.D., 2002. Climate sensitivity of the Earth to solar irradiance. Geophysical Research Letters 29 (16), 1786 doi:10.1029/2002GL015345.
- Dunkerton, T.J., 1987. Resonant excitation of hemispheric barotropic instability in the winter mesosphere. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences 44, 2239–2251.

- Dunkerton, T.J., 1997. The role of gravity waves in the quasibiennial oscillation. Journal of Geophysical Research 102, 26053–26076.
- Dunkerton, T.J., 2000. Midwinter deceleration of the subtropical mesospheric jet and interannual variability of the high-latitude flow in UKMO analyses. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences 57, 3838–3855.
- Dunkerton, T.J., Baldwin, M.P., 1992. Modes of interannual variability in the stratosphere. Geophysical Research Letters 19, 49–52.
- Dunkerton, T.J., Delisi, D.P., 1985. Climatology of the equatorial lower stratosphere. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences 42, 376–396.
- Eddy, J.A., 1976. The Maunder minimum. Science 192, 1189–1201.
- Fröhlich, C., Lean, J., 1998. The sun's total irradiance: cycles, trends, and related climate change uncertainties since 1976. Geophysical Research Letters 25, 4377–4380.
- Gillett, N., Thompson, D.W.J., 2003. Simulation of recent Southern Hemisphere climate change. Science 302, 273–275.
- Gillett, N.P., Baldwin, M.P., Allen, M.R., 2001. Evidence for nonlinerarity in observed stratospheric circulation changes. Journal of Geophysical Research 106, 7891–7901.
- Gray, L.J., 2003. The influence of the equatorial upper stratosphere on stratospheric sudden warmings. Geophysical Research Letters 30 (4), 1166 doi:10.1029/ 2002GL016430.
- Gray, L.J., Drysdale, E.F., Dunkerton, T.J., Lawrence, B.N., 2001a. Model study of the inter annual variability of the Northern Hemisphere stratospheric winter circulation: the role of the quasi-biennial oscillation. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 127, 1413–1432.
- Gray, L.J., Phipps, S.J., Dunkerton, T.J., Baldwin, M.P., Drysdale, E.F., Allen, M.R., 2001b. Influence of the equatorial upper stratosphere on interannual variability of the Northern Hemisphere winter evolution. Part 1: data study. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 127, 1985–2003.
- Gray, L.J., Sparrow, S., Juckes, M., O'Neill, A., Andrews, D.G., 2003. Flow regimes in the winter stratosphere of the northern hemisphere. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 129 (589), 925–946.
- Haigh, J.D., 1994. The role of stratospheric ozone in modulating the solar radiative forcing of climate. Nature 370, 544–546.
- Haigh, J.D., 1996. The impact of solar variability on climate. Science 272, 981–984.
- Haigh, J.D., 2001. Climate variability and the role of the Sun. Science 294, 2109–2111.
- Haynes, P.H., Shepherd, T.G., 1989. The importance of surface pressure changes in the response of the atmosphere to zonally-symmetric thermal and mechanical forcing. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 115, 1181–1208.
- Holton, J.R., Lindzen, R.S., 1972. An uptated theory for the quasi-biennial cycle of the tropical stratosphere. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences 29, 1076–1080.
- Holton, J.R., Tan, H.-C., 1980. The influence of the equatorial quasi-biennial oscillation on the global circulation at 50 mb. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences 37, 2200–2208.

- Hood, L.L., 1997. The solar cycle variation of total ozone: dynamical forcing in the lower stratosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research 102, 1355–1370.
- Hood, L.L., Soukharev, B.E., 2000. The solar component of long term stratospheric variability: observations model comparisons, and possible mechanisms. In: Proceedings of the SPARC Second General Assembly. November 6–10.
- Hou, A.Y., Molod, A., 1995. Modulation of dynamic heating in the winter extratropics associated with the cross-equatorial Hadley circulation. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences 52, 2609–2626.
- Houghton, J.T., Ding, Y., Griggs, D.J., Noguer, M., van der Linden, P.J., Xiaosu, D., (Eds.), 2001. Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 944pp.
- Kodera, K., 2002. Solar cycle modulation of the North Atlantic Oscillation: implication for the spatial structure of the NAO. Geophysical Research Letters 29 (8), 1218 doi:10.1029/2001GL014557.
- Kodera, K., 2003. Solar influence on the spatial structure of the NAO during the winter 1900–1999. Geophysical Research Letters 30 (4), 1175 doi:10.1029/2002GL016584.
- Kodera, K., Kuroda, Y., 2002. Dynamical response to the solar cycle. Journal of Geophysical Research 107, 4749 doi:10.1029/2002JD002224.
- Kodera, K., Yamazaki, K., 1994. A possible influence of recent polar stratospheric coolings on the troposphere in the northern hemisphere winter. Geophysical Research Letters 21, 809–812.
- Kodera, K., Yamazaki, K., Chiba, M., Shibata, K., 1990. Downward propagation of upper stratospheric mean zonal wind perturbation to the troposphere. Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan 9, 1263–1266.
- Labitzke, K., 1987. Sunspots, the QBO, and the stratospheric temperatures in the north polar region. Geophysical Research Letters 14, 535–537.
- Labitzke, K., 2001. The global signal of the 11-year sunspot cycle in the stratosphere: differences between solar maxima and minima. Meteorolische Zeitschrift 10, 83–90.
- Labitzke, K., 2004. On the solar cycle-QBO relationship: a summary. Journal of Atmospheric and Solar Terrestrial Physics, this issue. doi: 10.1016/j.jastp.2004.07.016
- Langematz, U., 2000. An estimate of the impact of observed ozone losses on stratospheric temperatures. Geophysical Research Letters 27, 2077–2080.
- Langematz, U., Kunze, M., Krüger, K., Labitzke, K., Roff, G.L., 2003. Thermal and dynamical changes of the stratosphere since 1979 and their link to ozone and CO₂ changes. Journal of Geophysical Research 108 (D1), 4027 doi: 10.1029/2002JD002069.
- Larkin, A., Haigh, J.D., Djavidnia, S., 2000. The effect of solar UV irradiance on the Earth's atmosphere. Space Science Reviews 94, 199–214.
- Lean, J., Rind, D., 2001. Earth's response to a variable sun. Science 292, 234–236.
- Lean, J.L., Rottman, G.J., Kyle, H.L., Woods, T.N., Hickey, J.R., Puga, L.C., 1997. Detection and parameterization of variations in solar mid- and near-ultraviolet radiation

(200–400 nm). Journal of Geophysical Research 102, 29939–29956.

- Lindzen, R.S., Holton, J.R., 1968. A theory of the quasibiennial oscillation. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences 25, 1095–1107.
- Matthes, K., Kodera, K., Haigh, J.D., Shindell, D.T., Shibata, K., Langematz, U., Rozanov, E., Kuroda, Y., 2003. GRIPS Solar Experiments Intercomparison Project: initial results. Papers in Meteorology and Geophysics 54, 71–90.
- Matthes, K., Langematz, U., Gray, L.J., Kodera, K., Labitzke, K., 2004. Inproved 11-year solar signal in the FU CMAM. Journal of Geophysical Research 109, D06101, doi: 10.1029/1002JD004012.
- Milankovitch, M., 1941. Kanon der Erdbestrahlung und seiner Anwendung auf das Eiszeitproblem. In: Königlich Serbische Akademie, Belgrad, p. 633.
- North, G.R., Wu, Q., 2001. Detecting climate signals using space time EOFs. Journal of Climate 14, 1839–1863.
- Ortland, D.A., Dunkerton, T.J., 2004. Stratospheric vacillation and the dynamics of annular mode variability. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, in preparation.
- O'Sullivan, D.J., Dunkerton, T.J., 1994. Seasonal development of the extratropical QBO in a numerical model of the middle atmosphere. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences 51, 3706–3721.
- Perlwitz, J., Harnik, N., 2003. Observational evidence of a stratospheric influence on the troposphere by planetary wave reflection. Journal of Climate 16, 3011–3026.
- Pittock, A.B., 1978. A critical look at long term sun-weather relationships. Reviews Geophysics 16, 400.
- Plumb, R.A., 1977. The interaction of two internal waves with the mean flow: implications for the theory of the quasibiennial oscillation. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences 34, 1847–1858.
- Rind, D., Balachandran, N.K., 1995. Modeling the effects of UV variability and the QBO on the troposphere/stratosphere system. Part II: the troposphere. Journal of Climate 8, 2080–2095.
- Rosier, S.M., Shine, K.P., 2000. The effect of two decades of ozone change on stratospheric temperatures as indicated by a general circulation model. Geophysical Research Letters 27, 2617–2620.

- Ruzmaiken, A., Feynman, J., Jiang, X., Noone, D.C., Waple, A.M., Yung, Y.L., 2004. The pattern of northern hemisphere surface air temperature during prolonged periods of low solar output. Geophysical Research Letters 31, L12201, doi: 10.10129/2004GL019955.
- Shindell, D.T., Rind, D., Balachandran, N., Lean, J., Lonergan, P., 1999a. Solar cycle variability, ozone, and climate. Science 284, 305–308.
- Shindell, D.T., Miller, R.L., Schmidt, G.A., Pandolfo, L., 1999b. Simulation of recent northern winter climate trends by greenhouse-gas forcing. Nature 399, 452–455.
- Thompson, D.W.J., Solomon, S., 2002. Interpretation of recent Southern Hemisphere climate change. Science 296, 895–899.
- Thompson, D.W.J., Wallace, J.M., 1998. The Arctic Oscillation signature in the wintertime geopotential height and temperature Welds. Geophysical Research Letters 25, 1297–1300.
- Thompson, D.W.J., Baldwin, M.P., Wallace, J.M., 2002. Stratospheric connection to Northern Hemisphere wintertime weather: implications for prediction. Journal of Climate 15, 1421–1428.
- Thompson, D.W.J., Baldwin, M.P., Solomon, S., 2004. Stratospheric precursors of tropospheric climate anomalies in the Southern Hemisphere: 1979–2002. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, in press.
- Thorncroft, C.D., Hoskins, B.J., McIntyre, M.E., 1993. Two paradigms of baroclinic life-cycle behaviour. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 119, 17–55.
- van Loon, H., Labitzke, K., 2000. The influence of the 11-year solar cycle on the stratosphere below 30 km: a review. Space Science Reviews 94, 259–278.
- Wallace, J.M., 2000. North Atlantic Oscillation/Annular Mode: two paradigms—one phenomenon. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 126, 791–805.
- White, W.B., Lean, J., Cayan, D.R., 1997. Response of global upper ocean temperature to changing solar irradiance. Journal of Geophysical Research—Oceans 102, 3255–3266.
- Wittman, M.A.H., Polvani, L.M., Scott, R.K., Charlton, A.J., 2004. Stratospheric influence on baroclinic lifecycles and its connection to the Arctic Oscillation. Geophysical Review Letters, in press.