1 Effective degrees of nonlinearity in a family of generalized models of two-dimensional turbulence

Chuong V. Tran, David G. Dritschel, and Richard K. Scott

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of St. Andrews, St. Andrews KY16 9SS, United Kingdom

(Received 22 September 2009)

We study the small-scale behavior of generalized two-dimensional turbulence governed by a family of model equations, in which the active scalar $\theta = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \psi$ is advected by the incompressible flow $u = (-\psi_v, \psi_v)$. Here ψ is the stream function, Δ is the Laplace operator, and α is a positive number. The dynamics of this family are characterized by the material conservation of θ , whose variance $\langle \theta^2 \rangle$ is preferentially transferred to high wave numbers (direct transfer). As this transfer proceeds to ever-smaller scales, the gradient $\nabla \theta$ grows without bound. This growth is due to the stretching term $(\nabla \theta \cdot \nabla) u$ whose "effective degree of nonlinearity" differs from one member of the family to another. This degree depends on the relation between the advecting flow u and the active scalar θ (i.e., on α) and is wide ranging, from approximately linear to highly superlinear. Linear dynamics are realized when ∇u is a quantity of no smaller scales than θ , so that it is insensitive to the direct transfer of the variance of θ , which is nearly passively advected. This case corresponds to $\alpha \ge 2$, for which the growth of $\nabla \theta$ is approximately exponential in time and nonaccelerated. For $\alpha < 2$, superlinear dynamics are realized as the direct transfer of $\langle \theta^2 \rangle$ entails a growth in ∇u , thereby, enhancing the production of $\nabla \theta$. This superlinearity reaches the familiar quadratic nonlinearity of three-dimensional turbulence at α =1 and surpasses that for $\alpha < 1$. The usual vorticity equation ($\alpha = 2$) is the border line, where ∇u and θ are of the same scale, separating the linear and nonlinear regimes of the small-scale dynamics. We discuss these regimes in detail, with an emphasis on the locality of the direct transfer.

- 21 DOI: XXXX
- 22

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

I. INTRODUCTION

24 The production of progressively smaller scales, possibly 25 to be limited by viscous effects only, in incompressible fluid 26 flow at high Reynolds numbers, is a fundamental problem in 27 fluid dynamics. This long-standing problem is of genuine 28 interest for obvious reasons. One is that the production of 29 small scales plays a key role in the possible development of 30 singularities from smooth initial conditions in the three-31 dimensional (3D) Euler or Navier-Stokes equations that gov-32 ern the flow. Another reason is that in the presence of a 33 large-scale forcing, a persistent production of small scales 34 would be crucial to maintain a spectral energy flux (direct 35 energy cascade). The realizability of such a steady and 36 viscosity-independent flux is central to the Kolmogorov 37 theory of turbulence as this would be required to rid the **38** virtually inviscid energy inertial range of the injected energy, 39 thereby, making it possible for a statistical equilibrium to be 40 established. This dynamical scenario is either explicitly or 41 implicitly assumed to apply to other fluid systems as well, 42 not just the 3D Navier-Stokes equations. For example, in the **43** Kraichnan-Batchelor [1-3] theory of two-dimensional (2D) 44 turbulence, the dynamics of the mean-square vorticity (twice 45 the enstrophy) are assumed to be synonymous in many as-46 pects to those of the 3D energy. In particular, the enstrophy 47 injected into the system at large scales is hypothesized to 48 cascade to a dissipation range at small scales. As another 49 example, the mean-square potential vorticity in the quasigeo-50 strophic geophysical flow model is believed to behave in a 51 similar manner [4]. Thus "cascading dynamics" have been 52 considered universal among fluid systems.

53 The evolution of fluid flow is intrinsically nonlinear be-54 cause of the quadratic advection term, which couples all 55 scales of motion. Apparently, this is an underpinning reason PACS number(s): 47.27.-i

for the cascade universality mentioned in the preceding para- 56 graph. However, the "effective degree of nonlinearity" of the 57 small-scale dynamics is not always quadratic and differs 58 from one system to another. The implication is that the pre- 59 sumed cascades would have fundamental differences and 60 would not be universal in a strict sense. For an example of 61 the discrepancy in the effective degree of nonlinearity among 62 fluid systems, let us consider the respective evolution equa- 63 tions for the 3D vorticity $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ and 2D vorticity gradient $\nabla \boldsymbol{\omega}$ 64 given by 65

and

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{\omega} + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{\omega} = (\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u}, \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0$$
 (1) 66

67

$$\partial_t \nabla \omega + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \nabla \omega = \omega \boldsymbol{n} \times \nabla \omega - (\nabla \omega \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u}, \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0,$$
(2) 68

where u is the fluid velocity and n is the normal to the fluid 69 domain in 2D. The stretching term $(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{u}$ for the 3D vor- 70 ticity $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ in Eq. (1) is essentially quadratic in $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ because the **71** velocity gradient ∇u is expected to behave as ω on phenom- 72 enological grounds. As a consequence, an explosive 3D vor- 73 ticity growth from a smooth initial vorticity field is possible, 74 if not inevitable [5,6]. In contrast, the stretching term 75 $(\nabla \omega \cdot \nabla) u$ for the 2D vorticity gradient $\nabla \omega$ in Eq. (2) is vir- 76 tually linear in $\nabla \omega$ because ∇u is well behaved in the sense 77 that the mean-square vorticity $\langle \omega^2 \rangle = \langle |\nabla u|^2 \rangle$ is conserved. 78 (Note that the rotation term $\omega n \times \nabla \omega$ does not affect the 79 amplitude of $\nabla \omega$.) As a result, the growth of 2D vorticity 80 gradients can possibly be approximately exponential in time 81 only, a relatively mild behavior. Hence, one would expect 82 profound differences between the (highly nonlinear) 3D vor- 83 ticity and the (nearly linear) 2D vorticity gradient dynamics. 84 A notable example of these differences is that in the inviscid 85

PROOF COPY [EJ10580] 133912PRE

TRAN, DRITSCHEL, AND SCOTT

86 limit, the 2D enstrophy dissipation rate vanishes [7–9],
87 whereas the 3D energy dissipation rate presumably remains
88 nonzero. Another example is the discrepancy in the depen89 dence on the Reynolds number of the number of degrees of
90 freedom in the two cases [10].

The effective degree of nonlinearity in the above sense 91 92 differs not only between 2D and 3D fluids but also among 93 2D fluid systems. In this study, we investigate this varying 94 degree among members of a broad family of generalized 95 models of 2D turbulence, first introduced by Pierrehumbert 96 et al. [11]. By doing so, we extend several previous studies 97 [12–15], aiming to unify our understanding of turbulent 98 transfer in physically realizable fluid systems. The family's 99 dynamics are characterized by the material conservation of **100** the active scalar $\theta = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \psi$, whose variance $\langle \theta^2 \rangle$ is prefer-101 entially transferred to high wave numbers (small scales). **102** Here ψ is the stream function, Δ is Laplace's operator, and α 103 is a positive number. As the transfer of $\langle \theta^2 \rangle$ proceeds to 104 ever-smaller scales, the gradient $\nabla \theta$ grows without bound. 105 This growth is due to the stretching term $(\nabla \theta \cdot \nabla) u$, whose 106 effective degree of nonlinearity depends on α and is wide 107 ranging, from approximately linear to highly superlinear. 108 Linear behavior is realized when ∇u is a quantity of no **109** smaller scales than θ , so that the transfer of $\langle \theta^2 \rangle$ to the small 110 scales (direct transfer) has no significant effects on ∇u . In 111 other words, θ behaves nearly passively. This case corre-**112** sponds to $\alpha \ge 2$, for which $\nabla \theta$ can grow approximately ex-**113** ponentially in time without acceleration. For $\alpha < 2$, superlin-114 ear dynamics can be realized as the direct transfer of $\langle \theta^2 \rangle$ 115 entails a growth in ∇u , thereby, enhancing the production of 116 $\nabla \theta$. This superlinearity reaches the familiar quadratic nonlin-117 earity of three-dimensional turbulence at $\alpha = 1$ and exceeds **118** that for $\alpha < 1$. The usual vorticity equation ($\alpha = 2$) is the bor-119 der line, where ∇u and θ are of the same scale $(\langle |\nabla u|^2 \rangle)$ 120 = $\langle \theta^2 \rangle$), separating the linear and nonlinear regimes of the 121 small-scale dynamics. We discuss these dynamical regimes 122 in detail, with an emphasis on the local nature of the transfer 123 of $\langle \theta^2 \rangle$. The implication of the present results is that a com-124 prehensive theory for this family of generalized 2D turbu-125 lence needs to account for the wide range of effective de-**126** grees of nonlinearity of the family's small-scale dynamics.

127 II. GOVERNING EQUATIONS

128 The equation governing the evolution of the family of **129** active scalars $\theta = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \psi$ (for $\alpha > 0$) advected by the in-**130** compressible flow $u = (-\psi_v, \psi_x)$ is

$$\theta_t + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \theta = 0. \tag{3}$$

 This equation was proposed by Pierrehumbert *et al.* [11] in an attempt to better understand the nature of transfer locality in 2D turbulence, by examining how turbulent transfer re- sponses to changes in the parameter α . Equation (3) is physi- cally relevant for selected values of α . The usual 2D vorticity equation corresponds to $\alpha=2$. When $\alpha=1$, Eq. (3) is known as the surface quasigeostrophic equation and governs the ad- vection of the potential temperature, which is proportional to $\theta=(-\Delta)^{1/2}\psi$, on the surface of a quasigeostrophic fluid. In addition to the genuine interest due to this physical significance [12-20], the surface quasigeostrophic equation has re- 142 ceived some special attention for its resemblance to the 3D 143 Euler system [21-24]. A mathematical feature of particular 144 interest is the possible development of finite-time singulari- 145 ties (from smooth initial conditions), which, as argued by 146 pioneering studies [21,22,25] of this problem, could be asso- 147 ciated with the formation of weather fronts in the atmosphere. This, however, appears not to be the case [26]. 149

For simplicity, we consider Eq. (3) in a doubly periodic 150 domain of size *L*, and all fields concerned are assumed to 151 have zero spatial average. This allows us to express the 152 stream function as 153

$$\psi(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{k},t) \exp\{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}\}.$$
 (4)
154

Here $k = 2\pi L^{-1}(k_x, k_y)$, where k_x and k_y are integers not si- 155 multaneously zero. The reality of ψ requires $\hat{\psi}(k, t) = \hat{\psi}^*$ (156 -k, t), where the asterisk denotes the complex conjugate. The 157 fractional derivative $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ (which can be readily extended 158 to $\alpha < 0$, though not considered in this study) is defined by 159

$$\theta(\mathbf{x},t) = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \psi(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{k} k^{\alpha} \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{k},t) \exp\{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}\}$$
160

$$= \sum_{k} \hat{\theta}(k, t) \exp\{ik \cdot x\},$$
(5)
161

where $k = |\mathbf{k}|$ is the wave number. Equation (3) expresses ma- 162 terial conservation of θ , which gives rise to an infinite set of 163 conserved quantities. In particular, the generalized enstrophy 164 (active scalar variance) 165

$$Z = \frac{1}{2} \langle \theta^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle |(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \psi|^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} k^{2\alpha} |\hat{\psi}(k,t)|^2$$
(6)

is conserved. In addition, the generalized energy

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi \theta \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle |(-\Delta)^{\alpha/4} \psi|^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} k^{\alpha} |\hat{\psi}(k,t)|^2$$
(7) 168

167

is also conserved. Note that E is the usual kinetic energy 169 when $\alpha = 2$, while Z is the usual kinetic energy when $\alpha = 1$. 170 Only for these cases is the kinetic energy conserved. The 171 modal powers (spectra) of E and Z differ by the factor k^{α} . 172 Therefore, the redistribution of a non-negligible amount of E 173 to small scales would violate the conservation of Z. Simi- 174 larly, the redistribution of a non-negligible amount of Z to 175 large scales would violate the conservation of E. This means 176 that if a spectrally localized profile is to spread out in wave- 177 number space, most of E and Z get transferred to large and 178 small scales, respectively. This is the basis for the dual cas- 179 cade hypothesis in 2D turbulence. Here we are mainly con- 180 cerned with the direct transfer of Z. A more complete treat- 181 ment should include the inverse transfer of E as well since 182 these are known to be intimately related. 183

Given Eq. (4), we can express $u = (-\psi_y, \psi_x)$ in terms of a 184 Fourier series in the form 185

1 - 2

186

EFFECTIVE DEGREES OF NONLINEARITY IN A FAMILY ...

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = i \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} (-k_y, k_x) \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}(\boldsymbol{k},t) \exp\{i\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}\}.$$
 (8)

187 By substituting Eqs. (5) and (8) into Eq. (3), we obtain the **188** evolution equation for each individual Fourier mode $\hat{\theta}(k,t)$ **189** = $k^{\alpha}\hat{\psi}(k,t)$ of the conserved quantity θ

190
$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\theta}(\boldsymbol{k},t) = \sum_{\ell+\boldsymbol{m}=\boldsymbol{k}} \frac{(m^{\alpha}-\ell^{\alpha})\ell \times \boldsymbol{m}}{\ell^{\alpha}m^{\alpha}}\hat{\theta}(\ell,t)\hat{\theta}(\boldsymbol{m},t), \quad (9)$$

191 where $\ell \times m = \ell_x m_y - \ell_y m_x$. The sum on the right-hand side of 192 Eq. (9) involves all modes [except $\hat{\theta}(k,t)$] and is a measure 193 of the level of "excitation" of the mode $\hat{\theta}(k,t)$ due to all 194 admissible wave vector triads $k = \ell + m$. For a given triad, the 195 coupling coefficient $(m^{\alpha} - \ell^{\alpha})\ell \times m/(\ell^{\alpha}m^{\alpha})$ depends on α . 196 Its magnitude, together with the magnitudes of the coupling 197 coefficients in the governing equations for $\hat{\theta}(\ell,t)$ and 198 $\hat{\theta}(m,t)$, is a measure of triad dynamical activity, in the sense 199 that larger (in magnitude) coupling coefficients correspond to 200 more intense modal dynamics. This is intimately related to 201 the effective degree of nonlinearity and locality of the small-202 scale dynamics as will be seen in the subsequent sections.

203 III. EFFECTIVE DEGREES OF NONLINEARITY OF THE 204 SMALL-SCALE DYNAMICS

205 We now examine the behavior of $\nabla \theta$. Generally speaking, 206 any derivative $(-\Delta)^{\eta}\theta$, for $\eta > 0$, can be called a small-scale 207 quantity. Here we consider $\nabla \theta$, which is a "twin brother" of 208 $(-\Delta)^{1/2}\theta$, for its special status in Eq. (3) as well as its math-209 ematical tractability. For $\alpha=2$, a similar treatment of $\Delta \theta=$ 210 $-\Delta \omega$ can be carried out in the same manner.

211 A. Growth of the active scalar gradient

212 The governing equation for $\nabla \theta$ is

213 $\partial_t \nabla \theta + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \nabla \theta = \nabla \times \boldsymbol{u} \times \nabla \theta - (\nabla \theta \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u},$

 which can be obtained by replacing ω in Eq. (2) by θ . Like Eq. (2), the effect of the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (10) is to rotate $\nabla \theta$ without changing its magnitude. The amplification of $\nabla \theta$ is due solely to the stretching term $(\nabla \theta \cdot \nabla) u$ and is governed by

$$\partial_t |\nabla \theta| + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) |\nabla \theta| = -\frac{\nabla \theta}{|\nabla \theta|} \cdot (\nabla \theta \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u} \le |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}| |\nabla \theta|.$$
(11)

 Equation (11) implies that following the fluid motion, $|\nabla \theta|$ can grow exponentially in time with an instantaneous rate bounded from above by $|\nabla u|$. Hence, the behavior of $|\nabla u|$ holds the key to understanding the dynamics of $\nabla \theta$. Evi- dently, following the trajectory of a fluid "particle" starting from $x = x_0$ at t = 0, the growth of $|\nabla \theta|$ is formally constrained **226** by

227

219

228 where
$$\theta_0 = \theta(x_0, 0)$$
 and the integral is along the trajectory in **229** question. Hence, on average, the rate *r* defined by

 $|\nabla \theta| \leq |\nabla \theta_0| \exp\left\{\int_0^t |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}| d\tau\right\},\$

$$r = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}| d\tau \tag{13}$$

provides an upper bound for the exponential growth rate of 231 $|\nabla \theta|$. Note that for $\alpha = 1$ ($\langle |\nabla u|^2 \rangle = \langle |\nabla \theta|^2 \rangle$), a double expo-232 nential growth of $|\nabla \theta|$ is allowed but not necessarily implied 233 by the preceding equations. Nevertheless, it is interesting to 234 note that Ohkitani and Yamada [24] observed such a behav-235 ior in their simulations, thereby, suggesting a negative an-236 swer to the question of finite-time singularities in the surface 237 quasigeostrophic equation. This is consistent with the proof 238 of nonexistence of blowup by Córdoba [26].

B. Linear versus nonlinear growth of $\nabla \theta$ 240

Now for a sense of the behavior of *r*, we consider 241 $\langle |\nabla u|^2 \rangle^{1/2}$, which bounds $\langle |\nabla u| \rangle$ from above by the Cauchy- 242 Schwarz inequality $\langle |\nabla u| \rangle \leq \langle |\nabla u|^2 \rangle^{1/2}$. For $\alpha \in [2,4]$, 243 $\langle |\nabla u|^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ can be estimated in terms of the inviscid invariants 244 using the following version of the Hölder inequality (see, for 245 example, Sec. 5 of Ref. [14]): 246

$$\langle |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}|^2 \rangle^{1/2} \leq \langle |(-\Delta)^{\alpha/4} \psi|^2 \rangle^{1-2/\alpha} \langle |(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \psi|^2 \rangle^{2/\alpha-1/2}$$

$$= E^{1-2/\alpha} Z^{2/\alpha-1/2}.$$
 (14) 248

So $\langle |\nabla u|^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ is controlled by the inviscid invariants *E* and *Z*. 249 For $\alpha \notin [2,4]$, inequality (14) reverses direction. Further- 250 more, if an initial distribution of θ is to forever spread out in 251 wave-number space, $\langle |\nabla u|^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ increases without bound for 252 this case. This implies that there exist different regimes of α , 253 for which ∇u evolves quite differently, and the active scalar 254 gradient dynamics can be characteristically distinct. We discuss all these regimes in what follows. 256

For $\alpha < 2$, the divergence of $\langle |\nabla u|^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ entails an acceler- 257 ated growth of $\nabla \theta$ from an exponential one. This is the su- 258 perlinear regime discussed in the introductory section. This 259 superlinearity reaches the usual quadratic nonlinearity of 3D 260 turbulence at $\alpha = 1$, where $\langle |\nabla u|^2 \rangle = \langle |\nabla \theta|^2 \rangle$. Hence, the sur- 261 face quasigeostrophic and 3D Euler equations are analogous 262 in this aspect. However, the analogy appears to be superficial 263 as the surface quasigeostrophic equation turns out to be far 264 more "manageable" than its 3D counterpart: a consequence 265 of the material conservation of θ . For example, a number of 266 global regularity results have been proved for the surface 267 quasigeostrophic equation, by making use of mild dissipation 268 mechanisms represented by $(-\Delta)^{\eta}$ with $\eta \ge 1/2$ [27-30], 269 which can be much weaker than the usual viscosity. Whereas 270 for the 3D Navier-Stokes system, viscosity appears to be 271 inadequate for the same purpose. For $\alpha < 1$, this quadratic 272 nonlinearity is surpassed as the ratio $\langle |\nabla u|^2 \rangle / \langle |\nabla \theta|^2 \rangle$ diverges 273 in the limit $\langle |\nabla \theta|^2 \rangle \rightarrow \infty$ because 274

$$\langle |\nabla \theta|^2 \rangle^{2-\alpha} \le \langle |\nabla u|^2 \rangle \langle \theta^2 \rangle^{1-\alpha} \tag{15} 275$$

(cf. Ref. [14]). Active scalar gradient production can then 276 become highly intense. 277

For $\alpha \in [2,4]$, ∇u is well behaved in the sense that its 278 mean square is bounded from above in terms of the inviscid 279 invariants [see Eq. (14)]. In this case, ∇u is virtually unaf-280 fected by the direct transfer of $\langle \theta^2 \rangle$. At large *t*, a general fluid 281

(12)

(10)

TRAN, DRITSCHEL, AND SCOTT

282 trajectory is likely to have traversed the domain many times.283 The time average in Eq. (13) may therefore be approximately284 replaced by the spatial average. Hence, we can write

285
$$r \approx \langle |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}| \rangle \leq \langle |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}|^2 \rangle^{1/2} \leq E^{1-2/\alpha} Z^{2/\alpha-1/2},$$
 (16)

286 where we have used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Eq. **287** (14). This approximation of r means that $\nabla \theta$ can grow expo-288 nentially in time without acceleration. Thus, approximately **289** linear small-scale dynamics can be expected. Note that θ 290 behaves almost as a passive scalar in this regime. The anal-291 ogy between this case and that of a passive scalar was sug-**292** gested by Schorghofer [12] on phenomenological grounds. When $\alpha > 4$, inequality (14) reverses direction, and 293 **294** $\langle |\nabla u|^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ can no longer be controlled by the inviscid invari-**295** ants. However, unlike the case $\alpha < 2$, for which $\langle |\nabla u|^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ **296** diverges toward small scales, when $\alpha > 4$ velocity gradients 297 can be produced at increasingly large scales only. This pro-298 duction depends on the inverse transfer of the generalized **299** energy E (Tran 2004). Within the direct transfer range, i.e., **300** the generalized enstrophy range, the portion of $\langle |\nabla u|^2 \rangle$, say **301** Ω , cannot increase and instead remains bounded from above **302** in terms of Z. More precisely, as the spectra of $\langle |\nabla u|^2 \rangle$ and Z **303** differ by the factor $k^{2\alpha-4}$, we have $\Omega \leq 2k_*^{4-2\alpha}Z$ (Poincaré **304** type inequality), where k_* is the lower wave-number end of 305 the generalized enstrophy range. This suggests that no sig-306 nificant changes in the effective degree of nonlinearity of the **307** small-scale dynamics occur when α exceeds 4. Thus, we can **308** expect approximately linear small-scale behavior for all α $309 \ge 2.$

310 In passing, it is worth mentioning that while the small-311 scale dynamics appear to be insensitive to α in the regime 312 $\alpha > 2$, the large-scale dynamics can vary dramatically. The 313 reason is that for large α , u is prone to divergence toward 314 large scales as the inverse transfer of *E* proceeds. This un-315 doubtedly intensifies motions at large scales. One may adapt 316 the present notion of degree of nonlinearity for a quantitative 317 measure of the large-scale dynamics. Analogous to the tradi-318 tional problem of regularity, which is concerned with the 319 possible divergence of $\nabla \theta$, there is a potential problem that u320 becomes divergent for sufficiently large α if the fluid is un-321 bounded. This interesting problem is left for a future study.

322 IV. LOCALITY OF THE SMALL-SCALE DYNAMICS

323 This section is concerned with the small-scale dynamics 324 at the modal level. We establish a connection between the 325 degree of nonlinearity and dynamical activity of typical local **326** triads at small scales. Here the dynamical activity of a given 327 triad is associated with the magnitude of the coupling coef-328 ficients within the triad and is independent of the amplitude 329 of the three modal members. These local triads are shown to **330** be highly active for $\alpha < 2$ and moderately active for $\alpha = 2$ but **331** become virtually inactive for $\alpha > 2$. This implies that higher 332 effective degrees of nonlinearity correspond to more dynami-333 cally intense local triads. Thus, the effective degree of non-334 linearity is also a measure of dynamical activity of local **335** triads at small scales. The transition at $\alpha = 2$ from high activ-336 ity to virtually no activity of local triads is consistent with **337** phenomenological arguments [11] that the generalized enstrophy cascade is spectrally local for $\alpha < 2$ but becomes **338** dominated by nonlocal interactions for $\alpha > 2$. Below, we also **339** examine the dynamics of nonlocal triads and elaborate on the **340** nature of the locality transition, in order to provide a detailed **341** picture of the direct transfer of $\langle \theta^2 \rangle$ at the modal level. **342**

Within each individual triad $k = \ell + m$, the transfer of 343 modal generalized enstrophy is governed by 344

$$\frac{d}{dt}|\hat{\theta}(\boldsymbol{k})|^{2} = \frac{(m^{\alpha} - \ell^{\alpha})\ell \times \boldsymbol{m}}{m^{\alpha}\ell^{\alpha}} [\hat{\theta}(\ell)\hat{\theta}(\boldsymbol{m})\hat{\theta}^{*}(\boldsymbol{k})$$
345

$$+ \hat{\theta}^{*}(\ell)\hat{\theta}^{*}(m)\hat{\theta}(k)] = C_{k}[\hat{\theta}(\ell)\hat{\theta}(m)\hat{\theta}^{*}(k)$$
 346

$$+ \hat{\theta}^*(\ell)\hat{\theta}^*(m)\hat{\theta}(k)], \qquad 347$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}|\hat{\theta}(\ell)|^2 = \frac{(k^{\alpha} - m^{\alpha})\ell \times \boldsymbol{m}}{k^{\alpha}m^{\alpha}} [\hat{\theta}(\boldsymbol{k})\hat{\theta}^*(\boldsymbol{m})\hat{\theta}^*(\ell)$$
348

$$+ \hat{\theta}^*(k)\hat{\theta}(m)\hat{\theta}(\ell)] = C_{\ell}[\hat{\theta}(k)\hat{\theta}^*(m)\hat{\theta}^*(\ell)$$
 349

$$\vdash \theta^*(k)\,\theta(m)\,\theta(\ell)],$$
350

$$\frac{d}{dt}|\hat{\theta}(\boldsymbol{m})|^{2} = \frac{(\ell^{\alpha} - k^{\alpha})\ell \times \boldsymbol{m}}{\ell^{\alpha}k^{\alpha}} [\hat{\theta}(\boldsymbol{k})\hat{\theta}^{*}(\ell)\hat{\theta}^{*}(\boldsymbol{m})$$
351

$$+ \hat{\theta}^*(\boldsymbol{k})\hat{\theta}(\ell)\hat{\theta}(\boldsymbol{m})] = C_{\boldsymbol{m}}[\hat{\theta}(\boldsymbol{k})\hat{\theta}^*(\ell)\hat{\theta}^*(\boldsymbol{m})$$
 352

+
$$\hat{\theta}^*(\boldsymbol{k})\hat{\theta}(\ell)\hat{\theta}(\boldsymbol{m})$$
], (17) 353

where we have used the identities $\ell \times m = \ell \times k = k \times m$ and 354 suppressed the time variable. It is well known that both *E* 355 and *Z* are conserved for each individual triad. This can be 356 readily verified by the fact that the coupling coefficients in 357 Eqs. (17), C_k , C_ℓ and C_m , satisfy 358

$$C_k + C_\ell + C_m = 0 = \frac{C_k}{k^{\alpha}} + \frac{C_\ell}{\ell^{\alpha}} + \frac{C_m}{m^{\alpha}}.$$
 359

Furthermore, the transfer of E and Z is from the intermediate 360 wave number to both the larger and smaller wave numbers or 361 vice versa (note the signs of the coupling coefficients). The 362 former behavior appears to have been observed in numerical 363 simulations of 2D turbulence without exception. 364

We now analyze the coupling coefficients C_k , C_ℓ and C_m 365 in detail. As crude estimates that hold in general, these can 366 be bounded by (assuming k < l < m) 367

$$|C_k| = \frac{|(m^{\alpha} - \ell^{\alpha})\ell \times \boldsymbol{m}|}{m^{\alpha}\ell^{\alpha}} < k\ell^{1-\alpha},$$
368

$$C_{\ell}| = \frac{|(k^{\alpha} - m^{\alpha})\ell \times \boldsymbol{m}|}{k^{\alpha}m^{\alpha}} < \ell k^{1-\alpha},$$
369

$$|C_{m}| = \frac{|(\ell^{\alpha} - k^{\alpha})\ell \times m|}{\ell^{\alpha}k^{\alpha}} < \ell k^{1-\alpha}.$$
 (18)

where we have used $|\ell \times m| = |\ell \times k| \le k\ell$. Similar estimates 371 were obtained in [20] (for $\alpha = 1, 2$) and in [31] (for $\alpha = 1$). For 372 $\alpha > 2$, local triads (i.e., $k \le \ell \le m$) at small scales are effec- 373 tively "turned off" because all C_k , C_ℓ and C_m tend to zero in 374

EFFECTIVE DEGREES OF NONLINEARITY IN A FAMILY ...

 the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, the convergence is as rapid as $k^{2-\alpha}$. An immediate interpretation of this observation is that 377 local triads can be relatively ineffective in the direct transfer of $\langle \theta^2 \rangle$ compared with their nonlocal counterparts (see be- low). At the critical value $\alpha = 2$, C_k , C_ℓ and C_m can remain order unity for local triads that satisfy $|\ell \times m| \approx k^2$ and $|m^{\alpha}|$ $-\ell^{\alpha} \approx |k^{\alpha} - m^{\alpha}| \approx |\ell^{\alpha} - k^{\alpha}| \approx k^{\alpha}$. A majority of local triads sat-382 isfy both of these conditions. They are neither "ultrathin" nor 383 nearly isosceles and correspond to relatively sharp estimates in Eqs. (18), which reduce to $|C_k| \approx |C_\ell| \approx |C_m| \approx 1$. This 385 means that local triads at small scales in the usual vorticity 386 equation are moderately active. They can play a significant role in the direct transfer. Finally, for $\alpha < 2$, the interaction coefficients of these same triads diverge as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Their di- vergence can be seen to be as rapid as $k^{2-\alpha}$. This result sug-390 gests that for this case, local triads can play an overwhelm-ingly dominant role in the direct transfer.

392 Next, we turn to nonlocal triads. These are thin triads with **393** the wave numbers k, ℓ and m, satisfying $k \ll \ell \le m$. For this **394** case, C_k , C_ℓ and C_m can be estimated as follows:

 $|C_{\ell}| = \frac{|(k^{\alpha} - m^{\alpha})\ell \times \boldsymbol{m}|}{k^{\alpha}m^{\alpha}} \approx \ell k^{1-\alpha}$

$$|C_k| = \frac{|(m^{\alpha} - \ell^{\alpha})\ell \times m|}{m^{\alpha}\ell^{\alpha}} \approx \frac{\alpha k^2}{\ell^{\alpha}}$$

396

397

$$|C_m| = \frac{|(\ell^{\alpha} - k^{\alpha})\ell \times m|}{\ell^{\alpha}k^{\alpha}} \approx \ell k^{1-\alpha}.$$

398 In the limit $\ell \to \infty$ (while $k < \infty$), C_k vanishes, but both C_ℓ **399** and C_m $(C_\ell \approx -C_m)$ diverge as rapidly as ℓ . This implies a 400 vigorous exchange of generalized enstrophy between the two **401** neighboring wave numbers ℓ and m, mediated by a virtually 402 nonparticipating distant wave number k. This ultralocal 403 transfer by nonlocal interactions is virtually independent of α **404** as the divergence of C_{ℓ} and C_m is insensitive to α . This result 405 implies that local transfer by nonlocal interactions is an in-406 trinsic characteristic of this family of 2D turbulence models. 407 Note, however, that this transfer can be significant only when 408 the spectrum of the generalized enstrophy is not steeper than 409 k^{-1} [32]. In other words, the generalized enstrophy needs to 410 be physically present at small scales in order to facilitate 411 such a transfer. This suggests that for $\alpha > 2$ (recall that local **412** triads are dynamically inactive), the generalized enstrophy **413** spectra can plausibly scale as k^{-1} because steeper spectra are 414 unable to support a non-negligible direct transfer. This uni-**415** versal scaling was suggested by Schorghofer [12] and Wan-**416** tanabe and Iwayama [15]. Their justification is that θ can be 417 considered as a passive scalar, a view in accord with the **418** present analysis.

419 In passing, it is worth mentioning that the divergence of **420** C_{ℓ} and C_m in nonlocal triads is probably the reason for nu-**421** merical instability in simulations of 2D turbulence with in-

476

477

adequate diffusion because local triads with coupling coeffi- 422 cients of order unity are evidently well behaved. Support for 423 this claim can be derived from common observations that 424 numerical divergences occur as soon as the modes in the 425 vicinity of the truncation wave number are excited and well 426 before they acquire any considerable amount of enstrophy. 427 The same instability problem persists for $\alpha > 2$, although the 428 weak activities of local triads in this case may reduce the 429 severity of the instability to a certain extent. 430

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS 431

We have presented the notion of effective degree of non- 432 AQ: linearity to quantify the small-scale dynamics of a family of 433 generalized models of two-dimensional turbulence governed 434 by a broad class of nonlinear transport equations. Here, the 435 active scalar $\theta = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \psi$ ($\alpha > 0$) is advected by the incom- 436 pressible flow $u = (-\psi_v, \psi_x)$, where ψ is the stream function. 437 We have argued that although the advection term is qua- 438 dratic, the effective degree of nonlinearity of the small-scale 439 dynamics is not always quadratic and depends on α . It has 440 been found that the active scalar gradient dynamics are vir- 441 tually linear for $\alpha \ge 2$ and become nonlinear for $\alpha < 2$. Fur- 442 thermore, the degree of nonlinearity increases as α is de- 443 creased from 2, becoming quadratic at $\alpha = 1$ and exceeding 444 quadratic nonlinearity for $\alpha < 1$. It is conceivable that cred- 445 ible theories of the family's dynamics, particularly, those in- 446 volving small scales, need to account for the dependence on 447 α of the effective degree of nonlinearity. 448

We have also found that local triads at small scales are 449 highly active for $\alpha < 2$, moderately active for $\alpha = 2$, and vir- 450 tually inactive for $\alpha > 2$. On the other hand, nonlocal triads 451 are characterized by a vigorous exchange of generalized en- 452 strophy between pairs of neighboring wave numbers, medi- 453 ated by the third nonparticipating distant wave number. This 454 property is common for all α , thereby, implying that nonlocal 455 interactions (but ultralocal transfer) can be considered uni- 456 versal. In the absence of local triad activity ($\alpha > 2$), this ul- 457 tralocal transfer is responsible for the direct transfer of gen- 458 eralized enstrophy. This is similar to the problem of passive 459 scalar transport by a large-scale flow as the weak feedback 460 on the advecting flow by the active scalar can be neglected 461 [32]. In this case, it appears plausible that generalized enstro- 462 phy spectra scale as k^{-1} . 463

The local nature of the generalized enstrophy transfer can 464 be seen to be unambiguous in the present study. In general, 465 this transfer is local in wave-number space regardless of 466 what types of triads make the most contribution. For local 467 triads, the generalized enstrophy transfer is inherently local. 468 For nonlocal interactions, the transfer is even "more" local, 469 having a relatively higher degree of locality compared to the 470 transfer by local triads. More importantly, the transfer be- 471 tween distant wave numbers is largely insignificant. Hence, it 472 makes sense to speak of the degree of locality of the direct 473 generalized enstrophy transfer rather than to distinguish be-474 tween local and distant transfers. 475

(19)

TRAN, DRITSCHEL, AND SCOTT

- **478** [1] R. K. Kraichnan, Phys. Fluids **10**, 1417 (1967).
- [2] R. H. Kraichnan, J. Fluid Mech. 47, 525 (1971). 479
- [3] G. K. Batchelor, Phys. Fluids 12, II-233 (1969). AQ: 480
 - 481 [4] J. Charney, J. Atmos. Sci. 28, 1087 (1971).
 - **482** [5] R. M. Kerr, Phys. Fluids A 5, 1725 (1993).
 - 483 [6] R. M. Kerr, Phys. Fluids 17, 075103 (2005).
 - 484 [7] P. Dmitruk and D. C. Montgomery, Phys. Fluids 17, 035114 485 (2005).
 - 486 [8] C. V. Tran and D. G. Dritschel, J. Fluid Mech. 559, 107 487 (2006).
 - [9] D. G. Dritschel, C. V. Tran, and R. K. Scott, J. Fluid Mech. 488 489 **591**, 379 (2007).
- AQ: 490 [10] C. V. Tran, Phys. Fluids (to be published).
 - 491 [11] R. T. Pierrehumbert, I. M. Held, and K. L. Swanson, Chaos, 492 Solitons Fractals 4, 1111 (1994).
 - **493** [12] N. Schorghofer, Phys. Rev. E **61**, 6572 (2000).
 - 494 [13] K. S. Smith, G. Boccaletti, C. C. Henning, I. Marinov, C. Y. 495 Tam, I. M. Held, and G. K. Vallis, J. Fluid Mech. 469, 13 496 (2002).
 - 497 [14] C. V. Tran, Physica D 191, 137 (2004).
- 498 [15] T. Watanabe and T. Iwayama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 73, 3319 AQ: 499 (2004).
- #4 500 [16] I. M. Held, R. T. Pierrehumbert, S. T. Garner, and K. L. Swan-

501

513

514

515

516

- son, J. Fluid Mech. 282, 1 (1995). [17] R. K. Scott, Phys. Fluids 18, 116601 (2006).
- 502 503
- [18] C. V. Tran, Physica D 213, 76 (2006).
- [19] C. V. Tran and J. C. Bowman, J. Fluid Mech. 526, 349 (2005). 504
- [20] C. V. Tran and D. G. Dritschel, Phys. Fluids 18, 121703 505
- (2006).506 [21] P. Constantin, A. Majda, and E. Tabak, Nonlinearity 7, 1495 507 (1994a). 508
- [22] P. Constantin, A. Majda, and E. Tabak, Phys. Fluids 6, 9 509 (1994b). 510
- [23] A. Córdoba and D. Córdoba, Commun. Math. Phys. 249, 511 511 (2004).512
- [24] K. Ohkitani and M. Yamada, Phys. Fluids 9, 876 (1997).
- [25] A. F. Bennett, AIP Conf. Proc. 106, 295 (1984).
- [26] D. Córdoba, Ann. Math. 148, 1135 (1998).
- [27] J. Carrillo and L. Ferreira, Nonlinearity 21, 1001 (2008).
- [28] P. Constantin, Nonlinearity **21**, T239 (2008). 517 AQ
- [29] N. Ju, Commun. Math. Phys. 255, 161 (2005). 518
- [30] A. Kiselev, F. Nazarov, and A. Volberg, Invent. Math. 167, 519 445 (2007). 520 521
 - [31] P. Constantin, Physica D 237, 1926 (2008).
 - 522

NOT FOR PRINT!

FOR REVIEW BY AUTHOR

AUTHOR QUERIES -

- #1 AU: Phys. Rev. prefers not to publish claims of novelty or priority. Please check the change of "introduced" to "presented," and please refer to memo Policy Note New/Novel. To access this memo, please click on the "Production Memos for Authors" link which is located on the Important Notice Instructions, above the Download button for proof of forthcoming article.
- #2 Au: Please verify that the first page should be 'II-233' not '233' in Ref. 3.
- #3 AU: Please update Ref. 10 if possible.
- #4 Au: Please verify that the first author should be 'Watanabe' not 'Wantanabe' in Ref. 15.
- #5 Au: Please verify that the first page should be 'T239' not '239' in Ref. 28.

PROOF COPY [EJ10580] 133912PRE